

**We thank everyone who gave your ideas.**  
**Your opinion and suggestions are very important to us.**  
**By giving your voice you participate in the work of FEPTO.**

## **COMMENTS ON PROCESSED “VOICES”**

**The “Voices” are telling us what they have experiences during the Annual Meeting, and shared their proposals on what can be done in a different way.**

Most of the participants like the warm up. They rate it as perfect, very nice, and excellent.

The participants like the place, and the overall atmosphere. They like the people in LOC, their smiles, and their well prepared organisation. Some of feedback were: “Very supportive all the time”, and “I like excursion”.

They like small groups. They say that the biggest benefit is gained in small groups because they have the opportunity to learn something. As example: “Small groups give possibility to connect better with everyone”.

- “Gathering the learning of each small group on the topic of the AM should become a developmental ritual. If not, then why is it a topic? What for? Choosing a small group was too long.”

- “Well structured and organized AM”. “AM should be connected to the previous AM and to the next AM that will come.”

- “To have the program shown in the lobby was very helpful”. ” It would be good for the program of AM to be shared with the participants”.

There were suggestions if it is possible to change the representation and voting of the new institutes. Such as: “It’s not fair during coffee breaks for the new institutes to be present”. There was a proposal for the information about institutes to be shared with participants before the start of AM. “The new members should have a mentor who will lead them during the course of the AM”.

Some of the needs the participants have expressed: more discussion of how the institutes function and the problems they face, which would help them to lead their institutes and attract volunteer work. They want to hear how the successful institutes work and how they solve the problems. It is necessary to increase the time for discussing their current problem of the psychodrama situation in their countries.

“To be more focused on the professional issues and less on the process”. “Let’s become colleagues instead of family ☺”. “Need more interpersonal knowledge and more action”.

“Voices” would also like more psychodrama in the huge group and lessons from distinguished psychodramatist.

There is a proposal that the General Assembly could be divided into two days, so as not to be that long and boring. “The method of voting should be changed and may be electronically (for members who are not present).”

“Voices” say that in the large group, there should be room for spontaneity and creativity, but to be connected to the topic.

The offer to be creative and propose the title of the next AM has received the most answers.

“Postwar society”; “our messages outwards-receiving psychodramas”; “what and how we communicate”; “getting our message areas”; “the social and political situation in Europe, and how it affects Psychodrama? how Psychodrama connects to it?”; “Psychodrama and its identity/psychodrama in different areas and fields”; “Psychodrama and the soma”.

And for the end some more “voices”:

“A lot of administration for the council. Many hard –working, dedicated people, who use their time and effort for psychodrama. Warm thanks (flower) proud to be part of this group

THANK YOU FOR A WONDERFUL EXPERIENCE”

**According to the results obtained on the Likert scale, we can see:**

Q1 - Most “Votes” rate 4 and 5, which means that the participants highly rated AM and that they are generally satisfied with the AM.

Q2 - The content and structure of AM are evaluated highly, and show a high level of satisfaction.

Q3 - Highly rated quality of information before and during the meeting.

Q4 - The answers show that there are members who think they have received some skills during the AM. There are some participants that do not have the experience, but they have developed some skills. This is a field in which we should be more aware of what the members got from the meeting, and what they might need.

Q5 - General Assemblies are highly rated by most “voices”. There are some “voices” that are indecisive, so it would be interesting to hear the reasons that hold them at that level.

Q6 - Most of the votes for the Presentation and voting process of new institutes / organization show that members are satisfied, but a few votes leave room for us to reflect on what else we could do.

The arithmetic mean for all questions is high, which shows the satisfaction of the members, but also the motivation to work on improvement.

**We thank everyone who gave their ideas.**

**Your opinion and suggestions are very important to us.**

**By giving your voice you participate in the work of FEPTO**